


Statement posed for rating: A1

The conference was a good value for the money.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	0%
<i>Disagree</i>	2	0	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	2	4%
<i>Agree</i>	4	14	96%
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	30	
Total Responses		46	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.6	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **1.6**

Comments:


-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating:

A2

I liked the food.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	3	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	8	20% Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	15	73% Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	14	
Total Responses		40	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.0	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0)	1.0
-------------------------------------	------------

Comments:

A2 All the food was excellent

A2 Choices were fine, but I feel the quality was lacking this year compared to last year


A2 Excellent

-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: A3

The location is good.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	1	4%	Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	1		
<i>Neutral</i>	3	3	6%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	24	89%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	18		
Total Responses		47		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.2		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **1.2**

Comments:

A3 Staff seems to take our business for granted


-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating:

A4

I liked the facility.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0		Disfavored
<i>Disagree</i>	2	3	6%	(1 & 2)
<i>Neutral</i>	3	8	17%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	20	77%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	16		
Total Responses		47		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.0		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **1.0**


Comments:

-
-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: A5

The confrence had a good and appropriate mix of subjects.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	0%
<i>Disagree</i>	2	0	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	3	7%
<i>Agree</i>	4	16	93%
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	27	
Total Responses		46	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.5	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **1.5**

Comments:


A5 Good to have a nice variety of presentations

-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: A6

I came primarily for the Abandoned Mine Reclamation aspects of the conference.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	Disfavored (1 & 2)	
<i>Disagree</i>	2	7		18%
<i>Neutral</i>	3	2	5%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	13	78%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	18		
Total Responses		40		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.1		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0)	1.1
-------------------------------------	------------


Comments:

-
-
-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: A7

I came primarily for the Coal Mining Heritage aspects of the conference.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	8	44% (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	11	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	6	14% Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	4	42% Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	14	
Total Responses		43	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.1	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.1**

Comments:

A7 I came primarily to support several of the speakers (colleagues)


-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating:

A8

Adding Coal Mining Heritage to this year's conference was good thing.

Rating	#	Count	
Strongly Disagree	1	0	Disfavored (1 & 2)
Disagree	2	2	
Neutral	3	10	22% Neutral (3)
Agree	4	13	74% Favored
Strongly Agree	5	21	
Total Responses		46	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		4.2	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **1.2**

Comments:

A8 Excellent idea, let's do it again next year


A8 I think we should organize the conference differently if we do such contrasting topics again. People didn't mix and mingle as we would have liked.

-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: **B1**

Networking should have more emphasis with longer breaks and less presented material.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	4	65%	Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	22		
<i>Neutral</i>	3	11	28%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	3	8%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	0		
Total Responses		40		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		2.3		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **-0.7**

Comments:

B1 30 minute breaks were long enough

B1 It was perfect

B1 Optimal presentations from select groups

B1 Think this year was good


B1 This year was good

-

Statement posed for rating: B2

The conference should move around to other locations in the coal regions.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	2	33% Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	12	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	7	17% Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	17	50% Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	4	
Total Responses		42	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.2	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.2**

Comments:


B2 Northern field

-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: B3

The Ramada Conference Center should be considered for future conferences.

Rating	#	Count	
Strongly Disagree	1	1	Disfavored (1 & 2)
Disagree	2	4	
Neutral	3	11	24% Neutral (3)
Agree	4	24	64% Favored
Strongly Agree	5	5	
Total Responses		45	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.6	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.6**

Comments:


- B3 Atherton/Days Inn good too
- B3 Good central location for everyone statewide
- B3 Good mix already
- B3 If staying in this area
- B3 Not crazy about the hotel, but not a bad location

-

Statement posed for rating: B4

What is the maximum number of miles (one way) you would be willing to drive to the conference?

Maximum Mileage	#	Count	Cumulative	Cumulative %
>250 mi	1	0	0	0%
>200 mi but <=250 mi	2	3	3	10%
>150 mi but <=200 mi	3	8	11	38%
>75 mi but <=150 mi	4	14	25	86%
<=75 mi	5	4	29	100%
Total Responses		29		
Average Maximum Mileage		144.0		



Comments:

B4 2 hours driving time

B4 As long as it's in PA

B4 Doesn't matter, State College is central

B4 I either drive/fly, I will be there


-

-

Statement posed for rating: B5

Rising fuel costs are a major factor in deciding whether to attend the conference.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	8	63% Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	16	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	6	16% Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	8	21% Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	0	
Total Responses		38	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		2.4	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **-0.6**

Comments:

B5 To some extent


-
-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating:

B6

The conference should offer more technically oriented presentations.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	33%	Disfavored
<i>Disagree</i>	2	13		(1 & 2)
<i>Neutral</i>	3	13	33%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	13	35%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	1		
Total Responses		40		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.1		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.0**

Comments:

B6-10 No real knowledge

B6-7 A variety is good

B6-9 All should be offered

B6 I have no idea

-


-

Statement posed for rating:

B7

The conference should offer more basic, tutorial presentations.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	1	44%	Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	16		
<i>Neutral</i>	3	11	28%	Neutral (3) Favored
<i>Agree</i>	4	10		
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	1		
Total Responses		39		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		2.8		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0)	-0.2
-------------------------------------	-------------

Comments:

B6-10 No real knowledge

B6-9 All should be offered

B7 A couple perhaps

B7 Or ok if separate track

B7 The audience for this conference is knowledgeable in the field, don't insult them


-

Statement posed for rating:

B8

The conference should offer more policy oriented presentations.

Rating	#	Count		
Strongly Disagree	1	1	25%	Disfavored (1 & 2)
Disagree	2	9		
Neutral	3	9	23%	Neutral (3)
Agree	4	19	53%	Favored
Strongly Agree	5	2		
Total Responses		40		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.3		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.3**

Comments:

B6-10 No real knowledge

B6-9 All should be offered

B8 Influencing policy

B8 Nice to have both perspectives

-


-

Statement posed for rating:

B9

The conference should offer more funding oriented presentations.

Rating	#	Count		
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	1	16%	Disfavored (1 & 2)
<i>Disagree</i>	2	5		
<i>Neutral</i>	3	13	34%	Neutral (3)
<i>Agree</i>	4	15	50%	Favored
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	4		
Total Responses		38		
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.4		



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.4**

Comments:

B6-10 No real knowledge

B6-9 All should be offered

B9 Following funder guidelines

B9 Just one or two


-

-

Statement posed for rating: B11

Coal Mining Heritage would be better served with a conference of its own.

Rating	#	Count	
Strongly Disagree	1	1	40% Disfavored (1 & 2)
Disagree	2	15	
Neutral	3	18	45% Neutral (3)
Agree	4	5	15% Favored
Strongly Agree	5	1	
Total Responses		40	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		2.8	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **-0.3**

Comments:

B11 Possibly


B11 Rather than separate, intermix the presentations

-
-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: B10

The confrence should continue with a Coal Mining Heritage segment.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	0	14%
<i>Disagree</i>	2	6	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	11	26%
<i>Agree</i>	4	15	60%
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	11	
Total Responses		43	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		3.9	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **0.9**

Comments:

B10 Alternate years

B10 We lost a lot of AMR people when the history section started


B6-10 No real knowledge

-
-
-

Statement posed for rating: B12

I would consider being on Coal Mining Heritage planning committee.

Rating	#	Count	
<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	1	6	52%
<i>Disagree</i>	2	11	
<i>Neutral</i>	3	5	15%
<i>Agree</i>	4	8	33%
<i>Strongly Agree</i>	5	3	
Total Responses		33	
Average Rating (1.0 - 5.0)		2.9	



Shifted Average Rating (-2.0 - 2.0) **-0.1**

Comments:

- B12 Kim Lanich
- B12 Not yet
- B12 Den Perry dperry@lhva.org
-
-
-